

"... Applicant is not now putting to beneficial use all of the water and water rights to which it is legally entitled."

BEFORE THE STATE ENGINEER STATE OF UTAH

In the Matter of the Application
of the Metropolitan Water District
of Salt Lake City to appropriate
fifty second feet of water.

P R O T E S T
of
LITTLE COTTONWOOD TANNER
DITCH COMPANY

COMES NOW Little Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Company, and by way of Protest to the application of Metropolitan Water District of Salt Lake City to appropriate fifty second feet of underground water from certain parts in Sections 2 and 11, Township 3 South, Range 1 East Salt Lake Base and Meridian, Salt Lake County, State of Utah, alleges:

1. That Protestant is a mutual irrigation company organized under the laws of the State of Utah and is the owner of an interest in the primary and secondary water rights of the Little Cottonwood Creek, a natural water course located in Salt Lake County, Utah. Said waters are distributed by Protestant to its shareholders for use as irrigation water upon lands located between Big and Little Cottonwood Creeks east of the state highway in Salt Lake County, Utah.

2. Protestant alleges that there is no unappropriated waters in the source of supply from which applicant seeks to appropriate.

3. Protestant further alleges that the Applicant is not now putting to beneficial use all of the water and water rights to which it is legally entitled.



D. Howe Moffat
D. Howe Moffat
Vice-President of Little Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Company

STATE OF UTAH)
COUNTY OF SALT LAKE) ss

On this 10th day of August, 1954, personally appeared before me, D. Howe Moffat, who duly acknowledged to me that he is Vice-President of Little Cottonwood Tanner Ditch Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Utah, and that the foregoing Protest was signed in behalf of said corporation by authority of a resolution of its Board of Directors.

Marion E. ...
Notary Public