

"contributions donated by developers of \$9.2 million are now included in the net revenue."

Developer Santa



\$9.2 Million in "Gifts"

123-November 30, 2006 Salt Lake City Public Utilities Advisory Committee Minutes.

Dear Friends of the Canyon,

Recently, I took photos of a mine dump in Cardiff Canyon Mill D South Fork in Big Cottonwood Canyon. I wondered how the Salt Lake City water monopoly's "watershed management muscle" could try to have my friend Cyle Buxton jailed for 18 months and fined \$15,000 dollars on one hand while having a mine dump like this in Big Cottonwood Canyon on the other.

- Moose are in. Dogs are out.
- Campsites are in. Cabin sites are out.
- Hotbeds are in. Cold beds are out.
- Non-residents are in the Planning Commission. Residents are out of the Planning Commission.

July 25, 2008 SLC GRAMA Response for priority list of watershed properties: "No record."

March 28, 2002 SLC PUAC minutes: "This parcel of land is on Public Utilities' priority list of critical watershed properties earmarked for acquisition."



122-March 28, 2002 Salt Lake City Public Utility Advisory Committee Minutes.



It seems to me the millions of dollars that have been spent to destroy property rights and hide government records could have been put to a higher and better use like removing gas and oil from Utah's drinking water supplies. While Salt Lake City's drinking water will contain sewer effluent, there is no good reason why SLC's primary stored drinking water (73,760 acre-feet of Deer Creek/Provo River water) should contain gas and oil churned into the water by water pleasure craft.

Wouldn't it be more cost and health effective to ban gas and oil power water craft from all Utah's

drinking water sources, than to ban Alta residents from the Alta Planning Commission?

There is no reason Utah's pleasure water crafts could not be power by natural gas. The same applies for snowmobiles and ATV's. Gas and oil laden fumes on the watershed snow pack pollute drinking water.

One man's pleasure should not cause another man's cancer.

As a doctor, I see the adverse impacts of poor environmental policies which contribute to cancer and other devastating diseases.

**CENSORED**



121-1997-98 Strategic Initiatives & Workplan (July 25, 2008 GRAMA)

November 14, 2003 SLC buys Friends of Alta land.

\$120,000

SLC's Director of Public Utilities, and Forest Service Employee are advisory committee members of Friends of Alta.



47-"Friends of Alta is a great organization with many fine accomplishments."

We all agree monopoly is not beneficial to humanity. There is no rational basis to many of the current Salt Lake City water monopoly policies. 1.5 miles of Little Cottonwood Creek has been de-watered. How does this protect watershed?

The Salt Lake City water monopoly would not tolerate a private citizen's de-watering 1.5 miles of Little Cottonwood Creek. SLC seems to be a chronic double standard. One for the public and one for the water insiders.



“Albion Basin Campground has 26 individual campsites (maximum 8 people per site) . . . Two group sites (capacities 15 and 25 people) are also available for reservations. . . . Each campsite has a fire grill, parking spur, and picnic table. Vault restrooms, garbage service, and drinking water are also available.” (Town of Alta Summer Recreation Program 2008 brochure)

Surely, high traffic campsites are more impacting to the watershed than low traffic cabins sites in the Albion Basin. These 28 campsites are a source of revenue. Why can the government use recreational land in a manner the taxpayer can't. Don't the same watershed theories apply to government land use practices?

Is it really watershed protection, or protecting the SLC's customer and power base? Are they really “contributions donated by developers” or unfair gotcha over the barrel fees? Are they really protected records, or historical documents which refute current policies? Is there really no “surplus” water for cabins, but “surplus” water for snowmaking?

Because SLC got \$9.2 million in “donations” in 2006, why doesn't the SLC water monopoly donate to Alta instead of usurping Friends of Alta's donations to promote water monopoly? Let's put the good lawyers to a higher and better use that of getting oil and gas out of all Utah's drinking water, and taking private property rights out of the hands of conflicted bureaucrats.

**August 12, 1976**  
**"surplus" water contract (SLC)**

"it [SLC] is unable to furnish the water provided by this Agreement, it may reduce the amount of water allowed hereunder or cancel and terminate this Agreement upon 30 days written notice . . ."

**How can "surplus" terminable water be relied upon for fire protection?**

42-Alta's water supply based on terminable "surplus" SLC contract.

Let's talk water,

Dr. Kevin Tolton  
 1454 Skyline Drive  
 Bountiful, Utah 84010  
[toltonkevin@comcast.net](mailto:toltonkevin@comcast.net)

**August 13, 2003**  
**SLC buys Friends of Alta land.**

**\$185,000**

**Is there a higher and better use for these "defense funds"?**

46-FOA donates money to Alta. Alta uses these "defense funds" against Alta land owners to deprive them of water to stop development.